

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/926,444 01/29/2002		Wilhelm Hoerrmann	P67254US0	4260		
136	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER		
JACOBSO 400 SEVEN		- · -	DAVIS, DEBORAH A			
SUITE 600	INSIKEE	I IN. W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	ron, DC 2	20004		1641		
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	09/926,444	HOERRMANN, \	HOERRMANN, WILHELM						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Deborah A Davis	1641							
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX a, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	July 2003 .	,							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final								
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	n.	•							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ .Claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · ·	•							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			ner.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. priority arraor oo o	o.o.g : (o)a/ (a/ o. (i).							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	d.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •								
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N tice of Informal Patent Application (P ter:							



Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' response to the Office Action mailed March 27, 2003 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Currently, claims 1-19 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The term "laboratory", recited in claims 1-4, lines 1-2 is vague because it is unclear as to what "laboratory" encompasses. Is the limitation intended to mean the assays will be conducted in a laboratory only, or what other characteristic would be required by assay procedure. It is suggested that the term "laboratory" should be eliminated to obviate this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,444

Art Unit: 1641

6. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sessa et al (Journal of chromatography, 382(1986) 258-263) in view of Zuk et al (USP#4,281,061).

The teachings of Sessa et al are set forth above in differ from the instant claims in not teaching a kit.

However, Zuk et al teaches that as a matter of convenience the reagents of an assay can be provided as kits where the reagents are in predetermined ratios so as to substantially optimize the sensitivity of the assay in the range of interest (column 22, lines 63-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the method of quantifying hydroxyprolines and its derivatives as taught by Sessa et al. and using known reagents and formatting them into a kit for convenience as taught by Zuk et al to enhance sensitivity of the instant method.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, lines 10-24, page 9, lines 1-9,14-22, and page 10, lines 1-2 filed July 28, 2003, with respect to claims 1-15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-15 has been withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's argument that Sessa et al does not teach or suggest a kit for detecting or measuring cis-4-hydroxyproline is not found persuasive. Sessal et al teaches the reagents recited in claims 16-19 and Zuk et al teaches the convenience

Application/Control Number: 09/926,444

Art Unit: 1641

when constructed in predetermined ratios so as to substantially optimize the sensitivity of the assay in the range of interest. Therefore, rejection of Sessa et al in view of Zuk et al, as applied to claims 16-19 are maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4427. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,444

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

////

Deborah A. Davis

CM1, 7D16

October 17, 2003

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

10/17/03